UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,190	12/08/2003	Mohamad El-Batal	LSI.80US01 (03-1068)	4363
24319 LSI CORPORA	7590 08/05/200 ATION	EXAMINER		
1621 BARBER	LANE	KHANNA, MADHU		
MS: D-105 MILPITAS, CA	x 95035	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/731,190	EL-BATAL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		WIN CELLO TELLO TE	2101
The MAILING I	DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 June	<u>e 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
application, applicant application in condition	must timely file one of the following	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request within one of the following time
a) The period for reply	y expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no event, however, Examiner Note: If b	will the statutory period for reply expire la ox 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection.  E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obta have been filed is the date for punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcul set forth in (b) above, if checke	lated from: (1) the expiration date of the s	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing data.	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
	was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Ap		nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) They raise new	dment(s) filed after a final rejection, by issues that would require further cor issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) ☐ They are not de appeal; and/or	eemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	
NOTE:	dditional claims without canceling a c (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
	e not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	s overcome the following rejection(s):		
non-allowable claim(s	s).		timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amen The status of the clair	<u>14</u> .		ii be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EV	/IDENCE		
because applicant fail	evidence filed after a final action, builled to provide a showing of good and nted. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
entered because the	evidence filed after the date of filing affidavit or other evidence failed to o sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other REQUEST FOR RECONSI	r evidence is entered. An explanation IDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reco	onsideration has been considered but heet.	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached In 13. ☐ Other:	nformation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/Salad Abdullahi/	
		Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2157

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argument that the cited prior art does not teach "providing at least one spare device such that said at least one spare device plus said plurality of active devices results in a total number of system devices that exceeds said maximum number of network addresses" is not persuasive. Applicant acknowledges that Wang teaches a maximum number of network addresses. Nguyen teaches a plurality of devices which each utilize and address. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the number of active devices would correspond to the maximum number of available addresses in order for the system to be most efficient. Nguyen also teaches that the recovery spare devices are offline, and therefore not utilizing an additional network address, until an active device fails and the recovery device is then brought online to take over the same address as the failed device. Therefore the combination of Nguyen and Wang clearly teach a system capable of having a total number of active and spare devices which exceeds the maximum number of available addresses of the network.